

SCHUR ALGORITHM FOR STIELTJES INDEFINITE MOMENT PROBLEM

VLADIMIR DERKACH AND IVAN KOVALYOV

ABSTRACT. Nondegenerate truncated indefinite Stieltjes moment problem in the class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ of generalized Stieltjes functions is considered. To describe the set of solutions of this problem we apply the Schur step-by-step algorithm, which leads to the expansion of these solutions in generalized Stieltjes continuous fractions studied recently in [16]. Explicit formula for the resolvent matrix in terms of generalized Stieltjes polynomials is found.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classical Stieltjes moment problem consists in the following: given a sequence of real numbers s_j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) find a positive measures σ with a support on $\mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^j d\sigma(t) = s_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

In [15] T. Stieltjes described piecewise solutions σ of this problem in connection with small vibration problem for a massless thread with a countable set of point masses. Full description of all positive measures σ , which satisfy (1.1), was given by M.G. Kreĭn in [21]. The problem (1.1), when σ is recovered from a finite sequence $\{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2n}$ is called the truncated Stieltjes moment problem and was studied in [20].

By the Hamburger–Nevanlinna theorem [2] the truncated Stieltjes moment problem can be reformulated in terms of the Stieltjes transform

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t-z} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$$

of σ as the following interpolation problem at ∞

$$(1.3) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_0}{z} - \frac{s_1}{z^2} - \dots - \frac{s_{2n}}{z^{2n+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2n+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

The notation $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$ means that $z \rightarrow \infty$ nontangentially, that is inside the sector $\varepsilon < \arg z < \pi - \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows easily from (1.1) that the inequalities

$$(1.4) \quad S_{n+1} := (s_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^n \geq 0, \quad S_n^+ := (s_{i+j+1})_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \geq 0$$

are necessary for solvability of the moment problem (1.3). If the matrices S_{n+1} and S_n^+ are nondegenerate, then the inequalities $S_{n+1} > 0$, $S_n^+ > 0$ are also sufficient

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E05; Secondary 15B57, 46C20, 47A57.

Key words and phrases. Stieltjes moment problem, Continued fraction, Generalized Stieltjes fraction, Schur algorithm, Solution matrix.

This work was supported by grants of Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (project numbers: 0115U000136, 0115U000556); V.D. is indebted to the German Science Foundation (DFG) for support under Grant TR 903/16-1.

for solvability of the moment problem (1.3), see [20]. The degenerate case is more subtle and was studied in [4].

The function f in (1.2) belongs to the class $\mathbf{N}$ of functions holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ with nonnegative imaginary part in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and such that $f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

Moreover, f belongs to the Stieltjes class $\mathbf{S}$ of functions $f \in \mathbf{N}$, which admit holomorphic and nonnegative continuation to $\mathbb{R}_-$. By M.G. Krein criterion, see [25]

$$(1.5) \quad f \in \mathbf{S} \iff f \in \mathbf{N} \quad \text{and} \quad zf \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Indefinite version of the class $\mathbf{N}$ was introduced in [22].

Definition 1.1. [22] A function f meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ with the set of holomorphy $\mathfrak{h}_f$ is said to be in the generalized Nevanlinna class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$ ($\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$), if for every set $z_j \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cap \mathfrak{h}_f$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) the form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{f(z_i) - \overline{f(z_j)}}{z_i - \bar{z}_j} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j$$

has at most κ and for some choice of z_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) exactly κ negative squares.

The generalized Stieltjes class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^+$ was defined in [23] as the class of functions $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$, such that $zf \in \mathbf{N}$. Similarly, in [8, 9] the class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ ($\kappa, k \in \mathbb{N}$) was introduced as the set of functions $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$, such that $zf \in \mathbf{N}_k$.

In [24] the moment problem in the class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$ ($\mathbf{N}_\kappa^+$) was considered in the following setting: Given a real sequence $\{s_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$, find $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ ($\mathbf{N}_\kappa^+$) such that (1.3) holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, it was shown in [24] that the problem (1.3) is solvable in $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^+$ if the number $\nu_-(S_n)$ of negative eigenvalues of S_n does not exceed κ for all n big enough and $S_n^+ > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The indefinite moment problem in generalized Stieltjes class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ was studied in [10].

In the present paper we consider the following truncated indefinite moment problem.

Problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$. Given $\ell, \kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$ of real numbers, describe the set $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ of functions $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$, which satisfy the asymptotic expansion

$$(1.6) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_0}{z} - \dots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^{\ell+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell+1}}\right) \quad (z = iy, y \uparrow \infty).$$

Such a moment problem is called *even* or *odd* regarding to the oddness of the number $\ell + 1$ of moments. To study this problem we use the Schur algorithm, which was elaborated in [5], [6] and [1] for the class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$. Let us explain it for the even case, i.e. when $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n-1}$. Recall, that a number $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ is called a *normal index* of the sequence $\mathbf{s}$, if $\det S_{n_j} \neq 0$. The ordered set of normal indices

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N$$

of the sequence $\mathbf{s}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$. For every $n_j \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ polynomials of the first and the second kind $P_{n_j}(z)$ and $Q_{n_j}(z)$ can be defined by standard formulas, see (5.1). A sequence $\mathbf{s}$ is called *regular* (see [16]), if

$$(1.7) \quad P_{n_j}(0) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (1 \leq j \leq N).$$

The latter condition is equivalent to the condition $\det S_{n_j}^+ \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

If the set $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ consists of N indices $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and $n = n_N$, a function $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ can be expanded into a P -fraction

$$(1.8) \quad - \frac{b_0}{a_0(z) - \frac{b_1}{a_1(z) - \cdots - \frac{b_{N-1}}{a_{N-1}(z) + \tau(z)}}},$$

where $b_j (\neq 0)$ are real numbers and a_j are monic polynomials of degree $k_j = n_{j+1} - n_j$, by using N steps of the Schur algorithm, see [6]. P -fractions were introduced and studied in [26], see also [27]. In the present paper we show that for $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ with regular $\mathbf{s}$ one step of the Schur algorithm can be splitted into two substeps, which lead to the following representation of f

$$(1.9) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + f_1(z)}},$$

where $m_1(z)$ is a polynomial, $l_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_1}^{k - k_1}$, and $\kappa_1 = \nu_-(S_{n_1})$, $k_1 = \nu_-(S_{n_1}^+)$. By iterating this process, we show that for $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n-1}$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ the problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ is solvable, if and only if

$$(1.10) \quad \kappa_N := \nu_-(S_N) \leq \kappa, \quad k_N := \nu_-(S_N^+) \leq k,$$

and every solution $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ admits the representation as the continued fraction

$$(1.11) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + \cdots + \frac{1}{-zm_N(z) + \frac{1}{l_N + \tau(z)}}}},$$

where m_j are polynomials, $l_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and τ is a parameter function from some generalized Stieltjes class $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_N}^{k - k_N}$, such that $\tau(z) = o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Such continued fractions were studied in [16].

Associated with the continued fraction (1.11) is a system of difference equations

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{cases} y_{2j} - y_{2j-2} = l_j y_{2j-1}, \\ y_{2j+1} - y_{2j-1} = -zm_{j+1}(z) y_{2j} \end{cases}$$

see [28, Section 1]. Following [15] (see also [25, Section 5.3], [12]) we introduce Stieltjes polynomials P_j^+ and Q_j^+ in such a way, that $u_j = Q_j^+$ and $v_j = P_j^+$ are solutions of the system (1.12) subject to the initial conditions

$$(1.13) \quad u_{-1} \equiv -1, \quad u_0 \equiv 0; \quad v_{-1} \equiv 0, \quad u_0 \equiv 1.$$

This implies that the convergents $\frac{u_j}{v_j}$ of the continued fraction (1.11) take the form

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{u_j}{v_j} = \frac{Q_j^+}{P_j^+} \quad (j = 1, \dots, 2N).$$

In view of (1.14) the representation (1.11) can be rewritten as

$$(1.15) \quad f(z) = \frac{Q_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z) + Q_{2N}^+(z)}{P_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z) + P_{2N}^+(z)},$$

Moreover, the solution matrix, i.e. the 2×2 matrix $W_{2N}(z)$ of coefficients of the linear-fractional transform (1.15) admits the factorization

$$(1.16) \quad W_{2N}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2N-1}^+(z) & Q_{2N}^+(z) \\ P_{2N-1}^+(z) & P_{2N}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} = M_1(z)L_1 \dots M_N(z)L_N,$$

where the matrices M_j and L_j are defined by

$$(1.17) \quad M_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_j(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad j = \overline{1, N}.$$

In the case when the sequence $\mathbf{s}$ satisfies the conditions

$$(1.18) \quad S_N > 0, \quad S_N^+ > 0,$$

$\mathbf{s}$ is automatically regular in the sense of (1.7) and m_j, l_j are positive numbers. In this case the system (1.12) describes small vibrations of a massless thread with masses m_j and distances l_j between them, see [2, Appendix]. The case, when $S_N^+ > 0$ and $\nu_-(S_N) > 0$ was studied by M.G Kreĭn and H. Langer [24]. In this case it may happen that m_j is either a negative real or even a polynomial of degree 1, and the system (1.12) was interpreted in [24] as a generalized Stieltjes string with negative masses and dipoles. In the general case, when $\mathbf{s}$ is a regular sequence and all l_j are positive, one can treat system (1.12) as a generalized Stieltjes string with multipoles, cf. [10].

Continued fractions of the form (1.11) with negative masses m_j were studied by Beals, Sattinger and Szmigielski [3] in connection with the theory of multi-peakon solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. In particular, they noticed that in the indefinite case, the inverse problem is not always solvable in the class of such continued fractions. In [17] it was shown that the inverse spectral problem for multi-peakon solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation is solvable in the class of continued fractions of the form (1.11) with polynomials $m_j(z) = d_j z + m_j$ of formal degree 1 ($d_j \geq 0, m_j \in \mathbb{R}$). These result is in the full correspondence with the description of solutions of the Stieltjes indefinite moment problem given in [24].

A description of the set of solutions of odd Stieltjes moment problem, corresponding to a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2n-2}$, is also found in a form similar to (1.15). If $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and $n = n_N$, then the factorization formula for the corresponding solution matrix W_{2N-1} takes the form

$$W_{2N-1}(z) = M_1(z)L_1 \dots L_{N-1}M_N(z).$$

In the case of a non-regular sequence $\mathbf{s}$ every solution $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s})$ admits an expansion in a continued fraction of type (1.11), where l_j are polynomials. The corresponding results will be published elsewhere. Notations in the present paper are quite tricky: all the objects which appear on the j -th step are endowed with the index j , regardless to the substep. To make difference between substeps, the moments which appear on the 1-st substep are denoted by Fraktur script, while moments which appear on the 2-nd substep are denoted by Latin script. The only exception is made for the solution matrix - the solution matrix, corresponding to an odd Stieltjes moment problem is denoted by W_{2N-1} , while solution matrix, corresponding to an even Stieltjes moment problem is denoted by W_{2N} .

Now, briefly describe the content of the paper. Section 2 contains some preliminary statements concerning the class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ of generalized Stieltjes functions, class

$\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ of generalized J -unitary matrix functions, normal indices of finite real sequences and some inversion formulas for asymptotic expansions. Solutions to odd and even basic moment problems will be described in Section 3. Section 4 presents a general Schur recursion algorithm, which allows to parametrize solutions of odd and even Stieltjes indefinite moment problems $MP_\kappa(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 2)$ and $MP_\kappa(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$, respectively. Factorization formulas for solution matrices W_{2N-1} and W_{2N} for odd and even Stieltjes indefinite moment problems based on the Schur algorithm are found. In Section 5 we introduce Stieltjes polynomials and find explicit formulas for solution matrices W_{2N-1} and W_{2N} in terms of Stieltjes polynomials.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Generalized Nevanlinna and Stieltjes classes. The class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$, introduced in Definition 1.1 is called the generalized Nevanlinna class. For $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ let us write $\kappa_-(f) = \kappa$. In particular, if $\kappa = 0$ then the class $\mathbf{N}_0$ coincides with the class $\mathbf{N}$ of Nevanlinna functions (see [25]).

Every real polynomial $P(t) = p_\nu t^\nu + p_{\nu-1} t^{\nu-1} + \dots + p_1 t + p_0$ of degree ν belongs to a class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$, where the index $\kappa = \kappa_-(P)$ can be evaluated by (see [23, Lemma 3.5])

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa_-(P) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{\nu+1}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{if } p_\nu < 0; \text{ and } \nu \text{ is odd;} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\nu}{2} \right\rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\nu_-(S)$ ($\nu_+(S)$) the number of negative (positive, resp.) eigenvalues of the matrix S . Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of finite real sequences $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$ and let $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}$ be the set of sequences $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \nu_-(S_n) = \kappa \quad (n = [\ell/2] + 1)$$

where S_n is defined by (1.4). The index $\nu_-(S_n)$ for a Hankel matrix S_n can be calculated by the Frobenius rule (see [18, Theorem X.24]). In particular, if all the determinants $D_j := \det S_j$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) do not vanish, then $\nu_-(S_n)$ coincides with the number of sign alterations in the sequence

$$D_0 := 1, \quad D_1, \quad D_2, \dots, \quad D_n.$$

Let us remind some statements concerning the classes $\mathbf{N}_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}$ from [23, 24].

Proposition 2.1. ([23]) *Let $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$, $f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1}$, $f_2 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2}$. Then*

- (1) $-f^{-1} \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$;
- (2) $f_1 + f_2 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'}$, where $\kappa' \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$;
- (3) *If, in addition, $f_1(iy) = o(y)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ and f_2 is a polynomial, then*

$$(2.3) \quad f_1 + f_2 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}.$$

- (4) *If a function $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ has an asymptotic expansion (1.6), then there exists $\kappa' \leq \kappa$, such that $\{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa',\ell}$.*

Recall, that a Nevanlinna function f is said to be from the Stieltjes class $\mathbf{S}^+$ ($\mathbf{S}^-$), if it admits a holomorphic and nonnegative (nonpositive, resp.) continuation to the negative half-line. By the M.G. Kreĭn criterion ([21])

$$f \in \mathbf{S}^\pm \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } z^{\pm 1} f(z) \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The following generalization of the class $\mathbf{S}^+$ was introduced in [8, 10]. A function $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ is said to be from the generalized Stieltjes class $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^{\pm k}$, if $z^{\pm 1} f(z) \in \mathbf{N}_k$

$(\kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$. In the case $\kappa = k = 0$ the class $\mathbf{N}_0^{\pm 0}$ coincides with the class $\mathbf{S}^\pm$. The classes $\mathbf{N}_\kappa^\pm := \mathbf{N}_\kappa^{\pm 0}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{\pm k} := \mathbf{N}_0^{\pm k}$ were studied in [24] and [11, 13], respectively.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}^k$ the set of real sequences $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}$, such that $\{s_{j+1}\}_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{k, \ell-1}$, i.e.

$$(2.4) \quad \nu_-(S_{[(\ell+1)/2]}) = k.$$

Proposition 2.2. ([23]) *The following equivalences hold:*

- (1) $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k \iff -\frac{1}{f} \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^{-k}$;
- (2) $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k \iff zf(z) \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^{-\kappa}$, in particular, $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^+ \iff zf(z) \in \mathbf{S}^{-\kappa}$;
- (3) If a function $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ has an asymptotic expansion (1.6) then

$$(2.5) \quad \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa', \ell}^{k'} \quad \text{with } \kappa' \leq \kappa, \quad k' \leq k.$$

2.2. Normal indices. Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be the set of normal indices of the sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$, defined by the properties

$$(2.6) \quad \det S_{n_j} \neq 0 \quad (j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}).$$

and enumerated in the increasing order. It follows from the Sylvester identity (see [16, Proposition 3.1]), that $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ is the union of two not necessarily disjoint subsets

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^{N_1} \cup \{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{N_2},$$

which are selected by

$$(2.8) \quad \det S_{\nu_j} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det S_{\nu_j-1}^+ \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } j = \overline{1, N_1}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \det S_{\mu_j} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det S_{\mu_j}^+ \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } j = \overline{1, N_2}.$$

Moreover, the normal indices ν_j and μ_j satisfy the following inequalities

$$(2.10) \quad 0 < \nu_1 \leq \mu_1 < \nu_2 \leq \mu_2 < \dots$$

Corollary 2.3. *If a function $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ has an asymptotic expansion (1.6) with $\ell = 2\mu_j - 1$ and μ_j satisfy (2.9), then*

$$(2.11) \quad \nu_-(S_{\mu_j}) \leq \kappa, \quad \nu_-(S_{\mu_j}^+) \leq k.$$

If a function $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ has an asymptotic expansion (1.6) with $\ell = 2\nu_j - 2$ and ν_j satisfy (2.8), then

$$(2.12) \quad \nu_-(S_{\nu_j}) \leq \kappa, \quad \nu_-(S_{\nu_j-1}^+) \leq k.$$

Notice, that the number ν_1 can be found from the conditions

$$(2.13) \quad s_0 = \dots = s_{\nu_1-2} = 0, \quad s_{\nu_1-1} \neq 0,$$

since for such ν_1 one has $\det S_i = 0$ for $i \leq \nu_1$ and

$$(2.14) \quad \det S_{\nu_1} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det S_{\nu_1-1}^+ \neq 0.$$

Therefore, the first normal index of $\mathbf{s}$ coincides with ν_1 , i.e. $n_1 = \nu_1$.

2.3. Toeplitz matrices and asymptotic expansions. A sequence $(c_0, \dots, c_n)$ of real numbers determines an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix $T(c_0, \dots, c_n)$ of order $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ with entries $t_{i,j} = c_{j-i}$ for $i \leq j$ and $t_{i,j} = 0$ for $i > j$:

$$(2.15) \quad T(c_0, \dots, c_n) = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & \dots & c_n \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & c_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Some of the calculations of the present paper will be based on the following

Lemma 2.4. *Let the functions c and d (meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$) have the asymptotic expansions*

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} c(z) &= c_0 + \frac{c_1}{z} + \dots + \frac{c_n}{z^n} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^n}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty; \\ d(z) &= d_0 + \frac{d_1}{z} + \dots + \frac{d_n}{z^n} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^n}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty. \end{aligned}$$

and let $c(z)d(z) = 1$. Then the Toeplitz matrices $T(c_0, \dots, c_n)$ and $T(d_0, \dots, d_n)$ are connected by

$$(2.17) \quad T(c_0, \dots, c_n)T(d_0, \dots, d_n) = I_{n+1}.$$

Assume that a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$ satisfies the conditions (2.13) with ν_1 replaced by ν , i.e.

$$(2.18) \quad s_0 = \dots = s_{\nu-2} = 0, \quad s_{\nu-1} \neq 0.$$

If $\ell \geq 2\nu - 1$ then one can define a polynomial a and a constant b by

$$(2.19) \quad a(z) = \frac{1}{D_\nu} \begin{vmatrix} s_0 & \dots & s_{\nu-1} & s_\nu \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{\nu-1} & \dots & s_{2\nu-2} & s_{2\nu-1} \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^\nu \end{vmatrix}, \quad b = s_{\nu-1}.$$

In the case when $\ell = 2\nu - 2$ let us set $s_{2\nu-1}$ to be an arbitrary real number. This number impacts only the last coefficient a_0 of the polynomial

$$(2.20) \quad a(z) = a_\nu z^\nu + \dots + a_1 z + a_0.$$

The following lemma is a direct corollary of Lemma 2.4. It collects some statements concerning asymptotic expansions of the reciprocal function from [5, Lemma 2.1] and [14, Lemma 2.13, Lemma A3].

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$ satisfies the conditions (2.18) with $\ell \geq 2\nu - 1$, let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$, $n = [\ell/2]$ and let b and the polynomial $a(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\nu a_j z^j$ be defined by (2.19). Then a function f (meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$) admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$(2.21) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_{\nu-1}}{z^\nu} - \dots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^{\ell+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

if and only if the function $-1/f(z)$ admits the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.22) \quad -\frac{1}{f(z)} = \frac{a(z)}{b} + \tilde{g}(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where $g(z)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) if $\ell = 2\nu - 2$ and $s_{2\nu-1}$ in (2.19) is an arbitrary real number, then $\tilde{g}(z) = o(z)$, $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$;
- (ii) if $\ell = 2\nu - 1$ then $\tilde{g}(z) = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$;
- (iii) if $\ell > 2\nu - 1$ then $g(z)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.23) \quad \tilde{g}(z) = -\frac{\mathfrak{s}_0}{z} - \cdots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\nu}}{z^{\ell-2\nu+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell-2\nu+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where the sequence $(\mathfrak{s}_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-2\nu}$ is determined by the matrix equation

$$(2.24) \quad T\left(\frac{a_\nu}{b}, \dots, \frac{a_0}{b}, -\mathfrak{s}_0, \dots, -\mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\nu}\right) T(s_{\nu-1}, \dots, s_\ell) = I_{\ell-\nu+2}.$$

Moreover, the matrices $\mathcal{S}_p = (\mathfrak{s}_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ are connected with matrices $S_{p+\nu}$ by the equalities

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{S}_p = (TS_{p+\nu}T^*)^{-1} \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1);$$

where T is a $p \times (p + \nu)$ -matrix of the form

$$(2.26) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} s_{\nu-1} & \cdots & s_{p+\nu-2} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & & s_{\nu-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1);$$

The indices $\nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p)$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p)$ and the normal indices $\mathbf{n}_j$ of the sequence $(\mathfrak{s}_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-2\nu}$ are given by

$$(2.27) \quad \nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p) = \nu_\pm(S_{p+\nu}) - \nu_\pm(S_\nu) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1);$$

$$(2.28) \quad \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p) = \nu_0(S_{p+\nu}) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1),$$

$$(2.29) \quad \mathbf{n}_j = n_{j+1} - \nu \quad (j = 1, \dots, N - 1).$$

Let us define the following polynomial m by

$$(2.30) \quad m(z) = \frac{a(z) - a(0)}{bz} \quad (\deg(m) = \nu - 1).$$

Due to (2.19), $m(z)$ takes the form

$$(2.31) \quad m(z) = \frac{(-1)^{\nu+1}}{D_\nu} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & s_{\nu-1} & s_\nu \\ \vdots & & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ s_{\nu-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & s_{2\nu-2} \\ 1 & z & \cdots & z^{\nu-2} & z^{\nu-1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (D_\nu := \det S_\nu).$$

and the leading coefficient of m is calculated by

$$(2.32) \quad (-1)^{\nu+1} \frac{D_{\nu-1}^+}{D_\nu} = \frac{1}{s_{\nu-1}}.$$

Let us reformulate Lemma 2.8 in terms of the polynomial m .

Lemma 2.6. *Let a real sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^\ell$ satisfy the conditions (2.13) ($\ell \geq 2\nu - 1$), let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and let the polynomial $m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} m_j z^j$ be defined by (2.31). Then a function f (meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$) admits the asymptotic expansion (2.21) if and only if the function $-1/f(z)$ admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$(2.33) \quad -1/f(z) = zm(z) + g(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where $g(z)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) if $\ell = 2\nu - 2$ then $g(z) = o(z)$, $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$;
- (ii) if $\ell \geq 2\nu - 1$ then $g(z)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.34) \quad g(z) = -\mathfrak{s}_{-1} - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_0}{z} - \cdots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_\ell}{z^{\ell+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where the sequence $(\mathfrak{s}_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-2\nu}$ is determined by the matrix equation

$$(2.35) \quad T(m_{\nu-1}, \dots, m_0, -\mathfrak{s}_{-1}, \dots, -\mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\nu})T(s_{\nu-1}, \dots, s_\ell) = I_{\ell-\nu+2}.$$

The indices $\nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p)$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p)$ and the normal indices $\mathbf{n}_j$ of the sequence $(\mathfrak{s}_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-2\nu}$ are given by (2.27) – (2.29).

Remark 2.7. It follows from the equality (2.24) and [5, Proposition 2.1] that the sequence $\{\mathfrak{s}_i\}_{i=-1}^{\ell-2\nu_1}$ can be found by the equalities

$$(2.36) \quad \mathfrak{s}_{-1} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu_1+1}}{s_{\nu_1-1}} \frac{D_{\nu_1}^+}{D_{\nu_1}},$$

$$(2.37) \quad \mathfrak{s}_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+\nu_1}}{s_{\nu_1-1}^{i+\nu_1+2}} \begin{vmatrix} s_{\nu_1} & s_{\nu_1-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & s_{\nu_1-1} \\ s_{2\nu_1+i} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & s_{\nu_1} \end{vmatrix} \quad i = \overline{0, \ell-2\nu_1}.$$

Next statement is an analog of Lemma 2.6 which is applicable for expansions containing constants.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{\mathfrak{s}_j\}_{j=-1}^\ell$ be a real sequence such that $\mathfrak{s}_{-1} \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$, $n = [\ell/2]$ and let $l = 1/\mathfrak{s}_{-1}$. Then a function g (meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$) admits the asymptotic expansion (2.34) if and only if the function $-1/g(z)$ admits the representation

$$(2.38) \quad -1/g(z) = l + f(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where $f(z)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) if $\ell = -1$ then $f(z) = o(1)$, $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$;
- (ii) if $\ell \geq 0$ then $f(z)$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.39) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_0}{z} - \cdots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^{\ell+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where the sequence $(\mathfrak{s}_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-2\nu}$ is determined by the matrix equation

$$(2.40) \quad T(\mathfrak{s}_{-1}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_\ell)T(l, -s_0, \dots, -s_\ell) = I_{\ell+2}.$$

The indices $\nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p)$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p)$ are given by

$$(2.41) \quad \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p) = \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p), \quad \nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p) = \nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p) \quad (p = 0, \dots, n+1).$$

PROOF. If $\ell = -1$, then (2.21) takes the form

$$g(z) = -\mathfrak{s}_{-1} + o(1), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

and hence (i) is clear.

Assume that $\ell \geq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.5 one obtains the representation (2.22), (2.23) for $-1/g$ with coefficients s_j ($j = 0, \dots, \ell$), satisfying (2.40). Multiplying

(2.40) with ℓ replaced by $2n$ ($n = [\ell/2]$) both from the left and from the right by the matrix J_{2n+2} one obtains the equality $AB = I_{n+2}$, or in the block form

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{12}^* & 0_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \end{pmatrix} = I_{2n+2},$$

where

$$A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \mathfrak{s}_{-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{s}_{-1} & \dots & \mathfrak{s}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)},$$

$$(2.43) \quad A_{22} = \mathcal{S}_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}, \quad B_{11} = -J_{n+1} \mathcal{S}_{n+1} J_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$$

and B_{12} , B_{12}^* are some matrices from $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$. Notice that the matrix A is invertible. If in addition, the matrix A_{22} is invertible then its Schur complement

$$B_{11}^{-1} = -A_{12} A_{22}^{-1} A_{12}^*$$

and hence the matrix $B_{11} = (A_{11})^{-1}$ is also invertible. In view of (2.43) this implies that the matrix $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ is invertible. The converse is also true by similar arguments. This proves the equalities (2.41). $\square$

For a sequence $\mathfrak{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=-1}^{2n-1}$ let us set

$$(2.44) \quad S_n^- = \begin{pmatrix} s_{-1} & \dots & s_{n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{n-2} & \dots & s_{2n-3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Corollary 2.9. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.6 the indices $\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p^-)$ and $\nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_p^-)$ for matrices $\mathcal{S}_p^- = (\mathfrak{s}_{i+j-1})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ are evaluated by the equalities*

$$(2.45) \quad \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p^-) = \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_{p+\nu-1}^+) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1, n = [\ell/2]);$$

$$(2.46) \quad \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_p^-) = \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_{p+\nu-1}^+) - \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_{\nu-1}^+) \quad \text{if } s_0 = 0 \quad (p = 1, \dots, n - \nu + 1);$$

$$(2.47) \quad \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_p^-) = \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_p^+) \quad \text{if } s_0 \neq 0 \quad (p = 1, \dots, n).$$

PROOF. Assume that $s_0 = 0$. Then it follows from (2.22), (2.23) that

$$(2.48) \quad zf(z) = -\frac{s_{\nu-1}}{z^{\nu-1}} - \dots - \frac{s_{2i-1}}{z^{2i-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2i-1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

$$(2.49) \quad -\frac{1}{zf(z)} = m(z) - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{-1}}{z} - \dots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{2i-1-2\nu}}{z^{2i-2\nu}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2i-2\nu}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 to $zf(z)$ and using the expansions (2.48) and (2.49) one obtains

$$\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_{p-\nu+1}^-) = \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p^+) \quad (p = \nu, \dots, [\ell/2]).$$

$$\nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_{p-\nu+1}^-) = \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_p^+) - \nu_{\pm}(\mathcal{S}_{\nu-1}^+) \quad (p = \nu, \dots, [\ell/2]).$$

If $s_0 \neq 0$, then $\nu = 1$ and the expansions (2.48) and (2.49) take the form

$$zf(z) = -s_0 - \frac{s_1}{z} - \dots - \frac{s_{2i-1}}{z^{2i-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2i-1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

$$-\frac{1}{zf(z)} = m - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{-1}}{z} - \dots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{2i-3}}{z^{2i-2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2i-2}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where $m = 1/s_0$ and by Lemma 2.8

$$\nu_0(\mathcal{S}_p^-) = \nu_0(S_p^+), \quad \nu_\pm(\mathcal{S}_p^-) = \nu_\pm(S_p^+) \quad (p = 1, \dots, [\ell/2]).$$

This proves (2.46)-(2.47). $\square$

Corollary 2.10. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.8 the indices $\nu_0(S_p^+)$ and $\nu_-(S_p^+)$ for matrices $S_p^+ = (\mathfrak{s}_{i+j-1})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ are evaluated by the equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(S_p^+) &= \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}^-) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n+1); \\ (2.50) \quad \nu_-(S_p) &= \nu_-(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}^-), \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{s}_{-1} > 0 \quad (p = 1, \dots, n+1); \\ \nu_-(S_p) &= \nu_-(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}^-) - 1, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{s}_{-1} < 0 \quad (p = 1, \dots, n+1). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Lemma 2.6 applied to the asymptotic expansions

$$(2.51) \quad \frac{g(z)}{z} = -\frac{\mathfrak{s}_{-1}}{z} - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_0}{z^2} - \dots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^{\ell+2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

$$(2.52) \quad -\frac{z}{g(z)} = lz - s_0 - \frac{s_1}{z} - \dots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^\ell} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^\ell}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

where $l = 1/\mathfrak{s}_{-1}$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(S_p^+) &= \nu_0(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}^-) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n); \\ (2.53) \quad \nu_-(S_p^+) &= \nu_-(\mathcal{S}_{p+1}^-) - \nu_-(\mathcal{S}_1^-) \quad (p = 1, \dots, n); \end{aligned}$$

Now the equalities (2.50) are implied by (2.53) since $\mathcal{S}_1^- = (\mathfrak{s}_{-1})$. $\square$

2.4. Class $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ and linear fractional transformations. Let $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and let J be a 2×2 signature matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A 2×2 matrix valued function $W(z) = (w_{i,j}(z))_{i,j=1}^2$ that is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$ of *generalized J -inner* matrix valued functions if:

(i) the kernel

$$(2.54) \quad \mathsf{K}_\omega^W(z) = \frac{J - W(z)JW(\omega)^*}{-i(z - \bar{\omega})}$$

has κ negative squares in $\mathfrak{H}_W^+ \times \mathfrak{H}_W^+$ and

(ii) $J - W(\mu)JW(\mu)^* = 0$ for a.e. $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

where $\mathfrak{H}_W^+$ denotes the domain of holomorphy of W in $\mathbb{C}_+$.

Consider the linear fractional transformation

$$(2.55) \quad T_W[\tau] = (w_{11}\tau(z) + w_{12})(w_{21}\tau(z) + w_{22})^{-1}$$

associated with the matrix valued function $W(z)$. The linear fractional transformation associated with the product $W_1 W_2$ of two matrix valued function $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$, coincides with the composition $T_{W_1} \circ T_{W_2}$.

As is known, if $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(J)$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2}$ then $T_W[\tau] \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'}$, where $\kappa' \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. In the present paper two partial cases, in which the preceding inequality becomes equality, will be needed.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $m(z)$ be a real polynomial such that $\kappa_-(zm) = \kappa_1$, $\kappa_-(m) = k_1$, let M be a 2×2 matrix valued function*

$$(2.56) \quad M(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let τ be a meromorphic function, such that $\tau(z)^{-1} = o(z)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$. Then the following equivalences hold:

$$(2.57) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2} \iff T_M[\tau] \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2},$$

$$(2.58) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2}^{k_2} \iff T_M[\tau] \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{k_1 + k_2}.$$

PROOF. Let us set $f = T_M[\tau]$. Then

$$(2.59) \quad -\frac{1}{f(z)} = zm(z) - \frac{1}{\tau(z)}.$$

It follows from (2.59) and Proposition 2.1 (3) that $-\frac{1}{f} \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}$. In view of Proposition 2.1 (1) this implies (2.57).

Dividing (2.59) by z one obtains

$$(2.60) \quad -\frac{1}{zf(z)} = m(z) - \frac{1}{z\tau(z)}.$$

Since $(z\tau(z))^{-1} = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$, then by Proposition 2.1 (3) $-\frac{1}{zf} \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}$ and hence $zf \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}$. This proves (2.58). $\square$

Lemma 2.12. *Let $l(z)$ be a real polynomial such that $\kappa_-(l) = \kappa_1$, $\kappa_-(zl(z)) = k_1$, let $L(z)$ be a 2×2 matrix valued function*

$$(2.61) \quad L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let τ be a meromorphic function, such that $\tau(z)^{-1} = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$. Then the following equivalences hold:

$$(2.62) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2} \iff T_L[\tau] \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2},$$

$$(2.63) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_2}^{k_2} \iff T_L[\tau] \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}^{k_1 + k_2}.$$

PROOF. Let us set $f = T_L[\tau]$. Then (2.62) is implied by the equality

$$(2.64) \quad f(z) = l(z) + \tau(z).$$

and Proposition 2.1 (3). Multiplying (2.64) by z one obtains

$$(2.65) \quad zf(z) = zl(z) + z\tau(z).$$

Since $z\tau(z) = o(z)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$, then by Proposition 2.1 (3) $zf \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}$. This proves (2.63). $\square$

3. BASIC MOMENT PROBLEM IN $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa}^k$

In this section we consider a basic moment problem in Nevanlinna class $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa}^k$ and describe its solutions. Odd and even moment problems will be treated separately. In both cases one step of the Schur algorithm will be considered.

3.1. Basic odd moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\nu_1 - 2)$. An odd moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n - 2)$ is called nondegenerate if

$$(3.1) \quad D_n \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n-1}^+ \neq 0.$$

By definition (2.8) this means that $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$. A nondegenerate odd moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n - 2)$ will be called basic, if n is the only normal index of $\mathbf{s}$, i.e. $n = \nu_1$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{\nu_1\}$. This case can be characterized by the conditions (2.13).

The basic moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\nu_1 - 2)$ can be reformulated as follow: Given a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2\nu_1-2}$ with $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{\nu_1\}$, find all functions $f \in N_\kappa^k$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_{\nu_1-1}}{z^{\nu_1}} - \dots - \frac{s_{2\nu_1-2}}{z^{2\nu_1-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2\nu_1-1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2\nu_1-2}$ be a sequence of real numbers from $\mathcal{H}$ and let (2.13) holds. Then $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa_1, 2\nu_1-2}^{k_1}$, where κ_1 and k_1 are defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \kappa_1 = \nu_-(S_{\nu_1}) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\nu_1+1}{2}\right], & \text{if } \nu_1 \text{ is odd and } s_{\nu_1-1} < 0; \\ \left[\frac{\nu_1}{2}\right], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad k_1 = \nu_-(S_{\nu_1-1}^+) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\nu_1}{2}\right], & \text{if } \nu_1 \text{ is even and } s_{\nu_1-1} < 0; \\ \left[\frac{\nu_1-1}{2}\right], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4), that

$$(3.5) \quad k_1 = \nu_-(S_{\nu_1-1}^+) = \begin{cases} \kappa_1 - 1, & \text{if } \nu_1 \text{ is odd and } s_{\nu_1-1} < 0; \\ \kappa_1 - 1, & \text{if } \nu_1 \text{ is even and } s_{\nu_1-1} > 0; \\ \kappa_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $m_1(z)$ be the polynomial defined by (2.31) with $\nu = \nu_1$. Then it follows from (2.1) and (3.3), (3.4), that

$$(3.6) \quad \kappa_1 = \kappa_-(zm_1), \quad k_1 = \kappa_-(m_1).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let ν_1 be the first normal index of the sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2\nu_1-2}$, let polynomial m_1 be defined by (2.31) and let $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ have the asymptotic expansion (3.2). Then f admits the following representation*

$$(3.7) \quad f(z) = -\frac{1}{zm_1(z) + g(z)},$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad g \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = o(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

Conversely, if g satisfies (3.8) and f is defined by (3.7), then $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.6, f admits the representation (3.2), where $g(z) = o(z)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$. Next, since $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ then also $-1/f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa$ and then it follows from the equality

$$(3.9) \quad -1/f(z) = zm_1(z) + g(z)$$

and Proposition 2.1 (3) that $g \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(zm_1)}$. Since by (3.6) $\kappa_-(zm_1) = \kappa_1$ one gets $g \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}$.

Conversely, if g satisfies (3.8) then by Lemma 2.6 f has the asymptotic expansion (3.2) and by (3.9) and Proposition 2.1 (3) $f \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa_1+(\kappa-\kappa_1)} = \mathbf{N}_\kappa$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Replacing g by $-1/g_1$ in (3.7), we can rewrite it as follows

$$(3.10) \quad f(z) = T_{M_1}[g_1] = \frac{g_1(z)}{-zm_1(z)g_1(z) + 1},$$

where the polynomial $m_1(z)$ is defined by (2.31), and the matrix valued function

$$(3.11) \quad M_1(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_1(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}_{\kappa_1}(J)$. The statement of Lemma 3.1 can be reformulated as follows

$$(3.12) \quad T_{M_1}[g_1] \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa \iff g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1} \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{g_1(z)} = o(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.11 that

$$(3.13) \quad T_{M_1}[g_1] \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k \iff g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1} \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{g_1(z)} = o(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

In fact, the reason for switching to reciprocal function g_1 is motivated by (3.13), it helps to keep g_1 staying in a generalized Stieltjes class $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1}$.

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2 with calculations in (3.6) one obtains

Theorem 3.3. *Let ν_1 be the first normal index of the sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2\nu_1-2}$, let m_1 , κ_1 and k_1 be defined by (2.31), (3.3) and by (3.4), respectively, and let $\ell \geq 2\nu_1 - 2$. Then:*

(1) *The problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$ is solvable if and only if*

$$(3.14) \quad \kappa_1 \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 \leq k.$$

(2) *$f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\nu_1 - 2)$ if and only if f admits the representation*

$$(3.15) \quad f = T_{M_1}[\tau],$$

where τ satisfies the conditions

$$(3.16) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau(z)} = o(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

(3) *If $\ell > 2\nu_1 - 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$ if and only if f admits the representation $f = T_{M_1}[g_1]$, where $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1}$ and $-\frac{1}{g_1(z)}$ has the following asymptotic expansion*

$$(3.17) \quad -\frac{1}{g_1(z)} = -\mathbf{s}_{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_0}{z} - \cdots - \frac{\mathbf{s}_{n-2\nu_1}}{z^{n-2\nu_1+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{n-2\nu_1+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

and the sequence $\{\mathbf{s}_i\}_{i=-1}^{n-2\nu_1}$ is determined by the matrix equation

$$(3.18) \quad T(m_{\nu_1-1}^{(1)}, \dots, m_0^{(1)}, -\mathbf{s}_{-1}^{(1)}, \dots, -\mathbf{s}_{\ell-2\nu_1}^{(1)}) T(s_{\nu_1-1}, \dots, s_\ell) = I_{\ell-\nu_1+2}.$$

PROOF. (1) Assume that $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$. The inequality $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa$ is implied by Proposition 2.1 (4). Next, since $zf \in \mathbf{N}_k$ and

$$(3.19) \quad zf(z) + s_0 = -\frac{s_1}{z} - \frac{s_2}{z^2} - \cdots - \frac{s_\ell}{z^\ell} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^\ell}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

then necessarily, by Corollary 2.3 (4) $k_1 = \nu_-(S_{\nu_1-1}^+) \leq k$.

(2) Assume f belongs to N_κ^k and has the asymptotic expansion (3.2). Then by Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2, the function $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\nu_1 - 2)$ has the representation (3.10) if and only if (3.16) holds.

(3) Suppose f belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$. By Lemma 2.6 and Remark 3.2, the function f admits the representation $f = T_{M_1}[g_1]$, where g_1 satisfies (3.17) and the sequence $\{\mathfrak{s}_i^{(1)}\}_{i=-1}^{n-2\nu_1}$ is determined by (3.18). Moreover, $g_1 \in N_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1}$ by Lemma 2.11.

The converse also follows from Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.11. $\square$

Remark 3.4. It follows from the equality (2.24) and [5, Proposition 2.1] that the sequence $\{\mathfrak{s}_i^{(1)}\}_{i=-1}^{\ell-2\nu_1}$ can be found by the equalities

$$(3.20) \quad \mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu_1+1} D_{\nu_1}^+}{s_{\nu_1-1} D_{\nu_1}},$$

$$(3.21) \quad \mathfrak{s}_i^{(1)} = \frac{(-1)^{i+\nu_1}}{s_{\nu_1-1}^{i+\nu_1+2}} \begin{vmatrix} s_{\nu_1} & s_{\nu_1-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & s_{\nu_1-1} \\ s_{2\nu_1+i} & \dots & \dots & \dots & s_{\nu_1} \end{vmatrix} \quad i = \overline{0, \ell-2\nu_1}.$$

3.2. Basic even moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$. An even moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n - 1)$ is called nondegenerate, if

$$(3.22) \quad D_n \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_n^+ \neq 0.$$

By classification (2.8), (2.9) this means that $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ and $n = \mu_j$ for some j . A nondegenerate even moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n - 2)$ will be called basic, if n is the smallest index such that (3.22) holds. Therefore, the basic even moment problem coincides with the problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$. Regarding to the conditions $\nu_1 = \mu_1$ or $\nu_1 < \mu_1$ the set of normal indices consists either of one element ν_1 or of two elements ν_1 and μ_1 .

The basic even moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$ can be reformulated as follows: Given a sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2\mu_1-1} \in \mathcal{H}$, where μ_1 is the smallest index n such that (3.22) holds, find all functions $f \in N_\kappa^k$, such that

$$(3.23) \quad f(z) = -\frac{s_{\nu_1-1}}{z^{\nu_1}} - \dots - \frac{s_{2\mu_1-1}}{z^{2\mu_1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2\mu_1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

Solution of the basic even moment problem will be splitted into two steps. On the first step one applies Lemma 2.6 to construct a sequence $\{\mathfrak{s}_j^{(1)}\}_{j=1}^{2(\mu_j-\nu_j)-1}$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$ then by Theorem 3.3 $f(z)$ admits the representation (3.10) which can be rewritten as

$$(3.24) \quad -\frac{1}{f(z)} = zm_1(z) - \frac{1}{g_1(z)},$$

and where $-g_1^{-1}$ has the following asymptotic expansion

$$(3.25) \quad -\frac{1}{g_1(z)} = -\mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)} - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_0^{(1)}}{z} - \dots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{2(\mu_1-\nu_1)-1}^{(1)}}{z^{2(\mu_1-\nu_1)}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2(\mu_1-\nu_1)}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$$

with $\mathfrak{s}_i^{(1)}$ defined by (3.18). Moreover, $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ if and only if $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(m_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)}$. Now two cases may occur.

- (1) If $\nu_1 = \mu_1$, then $\mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)} \neq 0$ and by Lemma 2.8 g_1 admits the representation

$$(3.26) \quad g_1 = T_{L_1}[f_1] := l_1 + f_1$$

where l_1 is a constant

$$(3.27) \quad l_1 = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)}} = (-1)^{\nu_1+1} s_{\nu_1-1} \frac{D_{\nu_1}}{D_{\nu_1}^+},$$

L_1 is defined by (2.61) and $f_1(z) = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.12 $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'}^{k'}$ if and only if $f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'-\kappa_-(zl_1)}^{k'-\kappa_-(zl_1)}$.

- (2) If $\nu_1 < \mu_1$, then $\mathfrak{s}^{(1)} = 0$ and by Lemma 2.5 g_1 admits the representation (3.26), where $l_1 = l_1(z)$ is a polynomial

$$(3.28) \quad l_1(z) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)} \det(\mathcal{S}_{\mu_1-\nu_1}^{(1)})} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{s}_0^{(1)} & \cdots & \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)} & \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1}^{(1)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \mathfrak{s}_{2\mu_1-2\nu_1-2}^{(1)} & \mathfrak{s}_{2\mu_1-2\nu_1-1}^{(1)} \\ 1 & \cdots & z^{\mu_1-\nu_1-1} & z^{\mu_1-\nu_1} \end{vmatrix},$$

L_1 is defined by (2.61) and $f_1(z) = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.12 $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'}^{k'}$ if and only if $f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa'-\kappa_-(l_1)}^{k'-\kappa_-(l_1)}$.

Combining the formulas (3.24) and (3.26) and summarising the above reasonings one obtains the first two statements of the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{2\mu_1-1}$ be a sequence from $\mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{\nu_1, \mu_1\}$ ($\nu_1 \leq \mu_1$), and let m_1, l_1 be defined by (2.31) and (3.28), respectively. Then:*

- (1) *The problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$ is solvable if and only if*

$$(3.29) \quad \kappa_1 := \nu_-(S_{\mu_1}) \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_1^+ := \nu_-(S_{\mu_1}^+) \leq k.$$

- (2) *$f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$ if and only if f admits the representation*

$$(3.30) \quad f = T_{M_1 L_1}[f_1],$$

where

$$(3.31) \quad f_1 \in N_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1^+} \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(z) = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

The indices κ_1 and k_1^+ can be expressed in terms of m_1 and l_1 by

$$(3.32) \quad \kappa_1 = \kappa_-(zm_1) + \kappa_-(l), \quad k_1^+ = \kappa_-(m_1) + \kappa_-(zl_1).$$

- (3) *If $\ell > 2\mu_1 - 1$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$, if and only if f admits the representation (3.30), where*

$$(3.33) \quad f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1^+}(\mathbf{s}^{(1)}, \ell - 2\mu_1),$$

κ_1 and k_1^+ are determined by (3.29) and the sequence $\{s_i^{(1)}\}_{i=-1}^{\ell-2\mu_1}$ is determined by the matrix equation

$$(3.34) \quad T(l_1, -s_0^{(1)}, \dots, -s_{\ell-2\mu_1}^{(1)}) T(\mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\mu_1}^{(1)}) = I_{\ell-2\mu_1+2},$$

if $\mu_1 = \nu_1$, and if $\nu_1 < \mu_1$ by the following equation

$$(3.35) \quad T(l_{\mu_1-\nu_1}^{(1)}, \dots, l_0^{(1)}, -s_0^{(1)}, \dots, -s_{\ell-2\mu_1}^{(1)}) T(\mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\mu_1}^{(1)}) = I_{\ell-\mu_1-\nu_1+2}.$$

PROOF. The items (1) and (2) are proved above.

Let us prove (3). Assume that $\ell > 2\mu_1 - 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, \ell)$. Then by Theorem 3.3 $f(z)$ admits the representation (3.24) where $-g_1^{-1}$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$(3.36) \quad -g_1^{-1} = -\mathfrak{s}_{-1}^{(1)} - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_0^{(1)}}{z} - \dots - \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{\ell-2\nu_1}^{(1)}}{z^{\ell-2\nu_1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell-2\nu_1+1}}\right), \quad z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty,$$

and $\mathfrak{s}_i^{(1)}$ are defined by (3.18). Moreover, $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ if and only if $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(m_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)}$. Consider two cases:

- (1) If $\nu_1 = \mu_1$, then $\mathfrak{s}^{(1)} \neq 0$ and by Lemma 2.8 g_1 admits the representation (3.26), (3.27), where L_1 is defined by (2.61) and $f_1(z)$ has the following asymptotic

$$(3.37) \quad f_1(z) = -\frac{s_0^{(1)}}{z} - \dots - \frac{s_{\ell-2\mu_1}^{(1)}}{z^{\ell-2\mu_1+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{\ell-2\mu_1+1}}\right), \quad z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty$$

with $s_j^{(1)}$ defined by the matrix equation (3.34). By Lemma 2.12

$$g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(zm_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)} \iff f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(zm_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)-\kappa_-(zl_1)}.$$

This proves that $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1^+}(\mathfrak{s}^{(1)}, \ell - 2\mu_1)$, since $\kappa_-(l_1) = 0$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa_-(zm_1)$ in this case.

- (2) If $\nu_1 < \mu_1$, then $\mathfrak{s}^{(1)} = 0$ and by Lemma 2.5 g_1 admits the representation (3.26), where $l_1 = l_1(z)$ is a polynomial given by (3.28), L_1 is defined by (2.61) and $f_1(z)$ has the asymptotic (3.37) as $z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty$. By Lemma 2.12

$$g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(zm_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)} \iff f_1 \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa-\kappa_-(zm_1)-\kappa_-(l_1)}^{k-\kappa_-(m_1)-\kappa_-(l_1)}.$$

This proves that $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa-\kappa_1}^{k-k_1^+}(\mathfrak{s}^{(1)}, \ell - 2\mu_1)$ also in the case $\nu_1 < \mu_1$.

The proof of the converse statement is similar and is based on Lemmas 2.6, 2.8, 2.11, 2.12. $\square$

Remark 3.6. It follows from the equality (2.24) and [5, Proposition 2.1] that the sequence $\left\{s_i^{(1)}\right\}_{i=0}^{\ell-2\mu_1}$ can be found by the equalities

$$(3.38) \quad s_i^{(1)} = \frac{(-1)^{i+\mu_1-\nu_1}}{(\mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)})^{i+\mu_1-\nu_1+2}} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1}^{(1)} & \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1-1}^{(1)} \\ \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1+i}^{(1)} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \mathfrak{s}_{\mu_1-\nu_1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $i = \overline{0, \ell - 2\mu_1}$.

Remark 3.7. The solution matrix of the basic even moment problem $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2\mu_1 - 1)$

$$(3.39) \quad W_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_1(z) \\ -zm_1(z) & -zm_1(z)l_1(z) + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

admits the following factorization

$$(3.40) \quad W_2(z) = M_1(z)L_1(z),$$

where the matrices $M_1(z)$ and $L_1(z)$ are defined by (2.56), (2.61) and the corresponding linear fractional transform is defined by

$$(3.41) \quad T_{W_2}[f_1] = \frac{f_1(z) + l_1(z)}{-zm_1(z)f_1(z) - zm_1(z)l_1 + 1}.$$

4. SCHUR ALGORITHM.

4.1. Regular sequences. A general nondegenerate indefinite truncated moment problem in the class N_κ^k can be studied by the step-by-step algorithm based on the elementary steps, introduced in the previous section. In this section we will demonstrate this algorithm in the case when the sequence $\mathbf{s}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg}$ of so-called regular sequences. This class $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg}$ was introduced in [16].

Definition 4.1. ([16]) Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}$ and let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$. A sequence $\mathbf{s}$ is related to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg}$ and is said to be regular, if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (1) $P_{n_j}(0) \neq 0$ for every $j \leq N$;
- (2) $D_{n_j-1}^+ \neq 0$ for every $j \leq N$;
- (3) $D_{n_j}^+ \neq 0$ for every $j \leq N$;
- (4) $\nu_j = \mu_j$ for all j , such that $\nu_j, \mu_j \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$.

The equivalence of the conditions (1) – (4) was proved in [16, Lemma 3.1]. The class of regular $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^k$ -sequences is defined by $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg} := \mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{reg} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^k$.

For a regular sequence $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg}$ the normal indices n_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) of $\mathbf{s}$ satisfy

$$n_j = \nu_j = \mu_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq N),$$

where ν_j and μ_j are introduced in (2.8) and (2.9). As was shown in [16] for every sequence $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa,\ell}^{k,reg}$ there are polynomials m_j of degree $\nu_j - n_{j-1} - 1$ and real numbers l_j such that the $2j$ -th convergent $\frac{u_{2j}}{v_{2j}}$ of the generalized S -fraction

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + \dots \frac{1}{-zm_j(z) + \frac{1}{l_j + \dots}}}}.$$

has the following asymptotic expansion

$$(4.2) \quad f(z) \sim -\frac{s_0}{z} - \frac{s_1}{z^2} - \dots - \frac{s_{2n_j-1}}{z^{2n_j}} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{2n_j+1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

We will show that the Schur process leads to the same continued fraction and gives descriptions of solutions of odd and even problems $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_j - 2)$ and $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_j - 1)$ in terms of these continued fractions.

4.2. Odd moment problem. Let $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 2)$ be a nondegenerate odd moment problem, i.e.

$$(4.3) \quad D_{n_N} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n_N-1}^+ \neq 0.$$

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 2)$ ($N > 1$), i.e. $f \in \mathbf{N}_\kappa^k$ and

$$f(z) = -\frac{s_0}{z} - \frac{s_1}{z^2} - \dots - \frac{s_{2n_N-2}}{z^{2n_N-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2n_N-1}}\right), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

Then by Theorem 3.5, the function f can be represented as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + f_1(z)}},$$

where the polynomial m_1 and number l_1 are defined by (2.31) and (3.27), respectively. Here the function f_1 has the asymptotic expansion (3.37) with the sequence $\mathbf{s}^{(1)} = \{s_i^{(1)}\}_{i=1}^{2(n_N - n_1) - 2}$ determined consequently by (3.18) and (3.35). The set of normal indices of the sequence $\mathbf{s}^{(1)}$ is $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}^{(1)}) = \{n_j - n_1\}_{j=2}^N$. Continuing this process and applying Theorem 3.5 $N - 1$ times one obtains on each step some function $f_j \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_j}^{k - k_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, N - 1$) with an induced asymptotic expansion

$$f_j(z) = -\frac{s_0^{(j)}}{z} - \frac{s_1^{(j)}}{z^2} - \dots - \frac{s_{2(n_N - n_j) - 2}^{(j)}}{z^{2(n_N - n_j) - 1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{z^{2(n_N - n_j) - 1}}\right), \quad z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty,$$

such that f_{j-1} has the following representation in terms of f_j :

$$(4.4) \quad f_{j-1}(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_j(z) + \frac{1}{l_j + f_j(z)}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, j),$$

Here the sequence $\mathbf{s}^{(j)} = \{s_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{2(n_N - n_j) - 2}$ is determined recursively by (3.18) and (3.35) and m_j and l_j are defined by the formulas

$$(4.5) \quad m_j(z) = \frac{(-1)^{\nu+1}}{D_\nu^{(j-1)}} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & s_{\nu-1}^{(j-1)} & s_\nu^{(j-1)} \\ \vdots & & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ s_{\nu-1}^{(j-1)} & \dots & \dots & \dots & s_{2\nu-2}^{(j-1)} \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^{\nu-2} & z^{\nu-1} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $D_\nu^{(j)} := \det S_\nu^{(j)}$, $\nu = n_j - n_{j-1}$ and

$$(4.6) \quad l_j = (-1)^{\nu+1} \frac{D_\nu^{(j-1)}}{(D_\nu^{(j-1)})^+} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N - 1).$$

Let the matrix functions $M_j(z)$ and $L_j(z)$ be defined by

$$M_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_j(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N - 1).$$

Then it follows from (4.4) that

$$(4.7) \quad f_{j-1}(z) = T_{M_j(z)L_j(z)}[f_j(z)] \quad (j = 1, \dots, N - 1).$$

On the last step we get the function $f_{N-1}(z)$, which is a solution of the basic moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}^{(N-1)}, 2(n_N - n_{N-1}) - 2)$. By Theorem 3.3, the function $f_{N-1}(z)$ can be represented as

$$f_{N-1}(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_N(z) + \frac{1}{f_N(z)}} = T_{M_N(z)}[f_N(z)],$$

where the polynomial $m_N(z)$ is defined by (4.5) and $f_N(z)$ is a function from $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_N}^{k - k_N}$, such that $f_N(z)^{(-1)} = o(z)$ as $z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty$.

Combining the statements (4.4) and (4.7) and replacing $f_N(z)$ by $\tau(z)$, one obtains the following

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-2} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-2}^{k, reg}$, let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$, and let $m_j(z)$ and $l_j(z)$ are defined by (4.5) and (4.6), respectively. Then:

- (1) A nondegenerate odd moment problem $MP_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 2)$ is solvable, if and only if

$$(4.8) \quad \kappa_N := \nu_-(S_{n_N}) \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_N := \nu_-(S_{n_{N-1}}^+) \leq k.$$

- (2) $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 2)$ if and only if f admits the representation

$$(4.9) \quad f = T_{W_{2N-1}}[\tau],$$

where

$$(4.10) \quad W_{2N-1}(z) := M_1(z)L_1 \dots (z)L_{N-1}M_N(z)$$

and $\tau(z)$ satisfies the conditions

$$(4.11) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_N}^{k - k_N} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau(z)} = o(z), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

- (3) The representation (4.9) can be rewritten as a continued fraction expansion

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + \frac{1}{-zm_2(z) + \dots + \frac{1}{-zm_N(z) + \tau(z)}}}}.$$

- (4) The indices κ_N and k_N are related to m_j and l_j by

$$\kappa_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_-(zm_j), \quad k_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_-(m_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \kappa_-(zl_j).$$

4.3. Even moment problem. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-1}^k$, let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_N\}_{j=1}^N$ and let $MP_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$ be a nondegenerate even moment problem, i.e.

$$(4.12) \quad D_{n_N} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n_N}^+ \neq 0.$$

Applying Theorem 3.5 $N - 1$ times in the same way as in the odd case one obtains the equalities (4.4) and a sequence of functions $f_j \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa - \kappa_j}^{k - k_j}(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}, 2(n_N - n_j) - 1)$. On the last step we obtain the function $f_{N-1}(z)$, which is a solution of the basic even moment problem $MP_{\kappa - \kappa_{N-1}}^{k - k_{N-1}}(\mathbf{s}^{(N-1)}, 2(n_N - n_{N-1}) - 1)$. By Theorem 3.5, the function f_{N-1} can be represented as follows:

$$(4.13) \quad f_{N-1}(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_N(z) + \frac{1}{l_N + f_N(z)}},$$

where $m_N(z)$ and l_N are defined by (4.5) and (4.6), and $f_N(z)$ is a function from $\mathbf{N}_{\kappa_{N-1} - \kappa_-(zm_N)}^{k_{N-1} - \kappa_-(m_N) - \kappa_-(zl_N)}$, such that $f_N(z) = o(1)$ as $z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty$.

Combining the statements (4.4) and (4.13) one obtains the following

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-1}^{k, reg}$ and let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$.

- (1) A nondegenerate odd moment problem $MP_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$ is solvable, if and only if

$$(4.14) \quad \kappa_N := n_-(S_{n_N}) \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_N^+ := n_-(S_{n_N}^+) \leq k.$$

(2) $f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$ if and only if f admits the representation

$$(4.15) \quad f = T_{W_{2N}^+}[\tau],$$

where

$$(4.16) \quad W_{2N}(z) := W_{2N-1}(z)L_N = M_1(z)L_1 \dots M_N(z)L_N$$

and $\tau(z)$ satisfies the conditions

$$(4.17) \quad \tau \in \mathbf{N}_{\kappa - \kappa_N}^{k - k_N^+} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau(z)} = o(1), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

(3) The representation (4.9) can be rewritten as a continued fraction expansion

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z) + \frac{1}{l_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{-zm_N(z) + \frac{1}{l_N + \tau(z)}}}},$$

where $m_j(z)$ and l_j are defined by (2.31) and (3.27), respectively.

(4) The indices κ_N and k_N^+ can be found by

$$\kappa_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_-(zm_j), \quad k_N^+ = \sum_{j=1}^N k_-(m_j) + \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_-(zl_j).$$

5. SOLUTION MATRICES

In the case of a regular sequence $\mathbf{s}$ the solution matrices $W_{2N-1}(z)$ and $W_{2N}(z)$ defined by (4.10) and (4.16) can be represented explicitly in terms of polynomials of the first and the second kind.

5.1. Polynomials of the first and the second kind. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^\ell \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}$ and let the sequence $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be extended by $n_{-1} := -1$, $n_0 := 0$. Recall (see [2], [6]) that polynomials of the first and the second kind are defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{n_j}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{D_{n_j}} \det \begin{pmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{n_j} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{n_j-1} & s_{n_j} & \dots & s_{2n_j-1} \\ 1 & \lambda & \dots & \lambda^{n_j} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_{n_j}(\lambda) &= \mathfrak{S}_t \left(\frac{P_{n_j}(\lambda) - P_{n_j}(t)}{\lambda - t} \right) \quad (j = 1, \dots, N), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_t$ is a functional defined on span $\{1, t, \dots, t^\ell\}$ by $\mathfrak{S}_t(t^i) = s_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$). As is known ([7] see also [6], [27]), there are real numbers $b_0 = s_{n_1-1}$, b_j , and monic polynomials a_j of degree $n_{j+1} - n_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq N-1$), such that the j -th convergent of the continued fraction (1.8) has the asymptotic expansion (4.2) for $j = 1, \dots, N$. The polynomials $P_{n_j}(\lambda)$ and $Q_{n_j}(\lambda)$ are solutions of the following difference equations

$$(5.2) \quad b_j y_{n_{j-1}}(\lambda) - a_j(\lambda) y_{n_j}(\lambda) + y_{n_{j+1}}(\lambda) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1)$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$(5.3) \quad P_{-1}(\lambda) \equiv 0, \quad P_0(\lambda) \equiv 1, \quad Q_{-1}(\lambda) \equiv -1, \quad Q_0(\lambda) \equiv 0.$$

It follows from (5.2) that $P_{n_j}(\lambda)$ and $Q_{n_j}(\lambda)$ are monic polynomials of degree n_j and $n_j - n_1$, respectively. Moreover, the j -th convergent of the continued fraction (1.8) takes the form

$$f^{[j]}(z) = -\frac{Q_{n_j}(z)}{P_{n_j}(z)} \quad (1 \leq j \leq N-1).$$

5.2. System of difference equations and Stieltjes polynomials. Let us consider a system of difference equations associated with the continued fraction (4.1)

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} y_{2j} - y_{2j-2} = l_j(z)y_{2j-1}, \\ y_{2j+1} - y_{2j-1} = -zm_{j+1}(z)y_{2j} \end{cases}$$

If the j -th convergent of this continued fraction is denoted by $\frac{u_j}{v_j}$, then u_j, v_j can be found as solutions of the system (see [28, Section 1]) subject to the following initial conditions

$$(5.5) \quad u_{-1} \equiv 1, \quad u_0 \equiv 0; \quad v_{-1} \equiv 0, \quad v_0 \equiv 1.$$

The first two convergents of the continued fraction (4.1) take the form

$$\frac{u_1}{v_1} = \frac{1}{-zm_1(z)} = T_{M_1}[\infty], \quad \frac{u_2}{v_2} = \frac{l_1(z)}{-zl_1(z)m_1(z) + 1} = T_{M_1 L_1}[0].$$

Similarly, the $(2j-1)$ -th and $(2j)$ -th convergents

$$\frac{u_{2j-1}}{v_{2j-1}} = T_{W_{2j-1}}[\infty], \quad \frac{u_{2j-2}}{v_{2j}} = T_{W_{2j}}[0].$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}^{k, reg}$. Then the $2j$ -th convergent $\frac{u_{2j}}{v_{2j}}$ of the generalized S -fraction (4.1) coincides with the j -th convergent of the P -fraction (1.8) corresponding to the sequence $\mathbf{s}$. The parameters l_j and $m_j(z)$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) of the generalized S -fraction (4.1) are connected with the parameters b_j and $a_j(z)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) of the P -fraction (1.8) by the equalities*

$$(5.6) \quad b_0 = \frac{1}{d_1}, \quad a_0(z) = \frac{1}{d_1} \left(zm_1(z) - \frac{1}{l_1} \right),$$

$$(5.7) \quad b_j = \frac{1}{l_j^2 d_j d_{j+1}}, \quad a_j(z) = \frac{1}{d_{j+1}} \left(zm_{j+1}(z) - \left(\frac{1}{l_j} + \frac{1}{l_{j+1}} \right) \right),$$

where d_j is the leading coefficient of $m_j(z)$ ($j = 1, \dots, N-1$).

In particular, it follows from (5.7) that

$$(5.8) \quad b_0 \dots b_j = \frac{1}{d_{j+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{d_i l_i} \right)^2 \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1).$$

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}^{k, reg}$. Define polynomials $P_j^+(z), Q_j^+(z)$ by

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{-1}^+(z) &\equiv 0, \quad P_0^+(z) \equiv 1, \quad Q_{-1}^+(z) \equiv 1, \quad Q_0^+(z) \equiv 0, \\ P_{2i-1}^+(z) &= \frac{-1}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n_i}(z) & P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix}, \quad P_{2i}^+(z) = \frac{P_{n_i}(z)}{P_{n_i}(0)}, \\ Q_{2i-1}^+(z) &= \frac{1}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{n_i}(z) & Q_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix}, \quad Q_{2i}^+(z) = -\frac{Q_{n_i}(z)}{P_{n_i}(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $P_j^+(z), Q_j^+(z)$ will be called *the Stieltjes polynomials* corresponding to the sequence $\mathbf{s}$.

Lemma 5.3. ([16], [19]) *Let $P_{n_j}(\lambda)$ be the polynomials of the first kind, then*

$$(5.10) \quad P_{n_j}(0) = (-1)^j \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{d_i l_i} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1),$$

$$(5.11) \quad P_{n_j}(0)^2 = d_{j+1} \prod_{i=0}^j b_i \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1)$$

$$(5.12) \quad P_{n_{j-1}}(0)P_{n_j}(0) = -\frac{1}{l_j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} b_i \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1).$$

PROOF. The first statement was proved in [19] (see also [16, Corollary 4.1]). The second statement follows from (5.10) and (5.8)

$$P_{n_j}(0)^2 = \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{(d_i l_i)^2} = d_{j+1} \prod_{i=0}^j b_i \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1).$$

The third statement is implied by (5.10), (5.8) and the following calculations

$$P_{n_{j-1}}(0)P_{n_j}(0) = -\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{d_i l_i} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{d_i l_i} = -\frac{1}{d_j l_j} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{d_i l_i} \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{l_j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} b_i.$$

□

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}^{k, reg}$ and let $P_j^+(z)$ and $Q_j^+(z)$ be the Stieltjes polynomials defined by (5.9). Then solutions $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^N$ and $\{v_j\}_{j=0}^N$ of the system (5.4), (5.5) take the form*

$$(5.13) \quad u_j = Q_j^+(z), \quad v_j = P_j^+(z) \quad (j = -1, 0, \dots, N).$$

PROOF. Since by Definition 5.2

$$P_{-1}^+(z) \equiv 0, \quad P_0^+(z) \equiv 1, \quad Q_{-1}^+(z) \equiv 1, \quad Q_0^+(z) \equiv 0,$$

it is necessary to prove the formulas

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2i-1}^+(z) &= -zm_i(z)P_{2i-2}^+(z) + P_{2i-3}^+(z), \\ P_{2i}^+(z) &= l_i P_{2i-1}^+(z) + P_{2i-2}^+(z) \quad (j = 1, \dots, N), \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{2i-1}^+(z) &= -zm_i(z)Q_{2i-2}^+(z) + Q_{2i-3}^+(z), \\ Q_{2i}^+(z) &= l_i Q_{2i-1}^+(z) + Q_{2i-2}^+(z) \quad (j = 1, \dots, N). \end{aligned}$$

First, we prove the formula (5.14). Calculating $P_1^+(z)$ and $P_2^+(z)$, and using (5.2), (5.3) and (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^+(z) &= -b_0^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n_1}(z) & P_{n_0}(z) \\ P_{n_1}(0) & P_{n_0}(0) \end{vmatrix} = -d_1 \begin{vmatrix} \frac{zm_1(z)}{d_1} - \frac{1}{d_1 l_1} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{d_1 l_1} & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -zm_1(z) = -zm_1(z)P_0^+(z) + P_{-1}^+(z), \\ P_2^+(z) &= \frac{P_{n_1}(z)}{P_{n_1}(0)} = \frac{\frac{zm_1(z)}{d_1} - \frac{1}{d_1 l_1}}{-\frac{1}{d_1 l_1}} = -l_1 zm_1(z) + 1 = l_1 P_1^+(z) + P_0^+(z). \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (5.2), (5.3) and (5.6) one gets for $i = \overline{1, N}$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2i-1}^+(z) &= -\frac{1}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n_i}(z) & P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} (\frac{zm_i(z)}{d_i} + a_{i-1}(0))P_{n_{i-1}}(z) - b_{i-1}P_{n_{i-2}}(z) & P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -zm_i(z)P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \frac{P_{n_{i-1}}(0)}{d_i b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{P_{n_{i-1}}(z)(a_{i-1}(0)P_{n_{i-2}}(0) - P_{n_i}(0)) - b_{i-1}P_{n_{i-2}}(z)P_{n_{i-1}}(0)}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.11) and (5.2) one obtains

$$\frac{P_{n_{i-1}}(0)}{d_i b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} = \frac{1}{P_{n_{i-1}}(0)}, \quad a_{i-1}(0)P_{n_{i-2}}(0) - P_{n_i}(0) = b_{i-1}P_{n_{i-2}}(0)$$

and hence by (5.9)

$$P_{2i-1}^+(z) = -zm_i(z)P_{2i-2}^+(z) + P_{2i-3}^+(z).$$

This proves the first equality in (5.14). The second equality in (5.14) is immediate from Definition 5.2 and (5.12). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2i}^+(z) - P_{2i-2}^+(z) &= \frac{P_{n_i}(z)}{P_{n_i}(0)} - \frac{P_{n_{i-1}}(z)}{P_{n_{i-1}}(0)} = \frac{1}{P_{n_i}(0)P_{n_{i-1}}(0)} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n_i}(z) & P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{-l_i}{b_0 \dots b_{i-1}} \begin{vmatrix} P_{n_i}(z) & P_{n_{i-1}}(z) \\ P_{n_i}(0) & P_{n_{i-1}}(0) \end{vmatrix} = l_i P_{2i-1}^+(z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one proves the recurrence formula (5.15). $\square$

Remark 5.5. Notice, that the formula (5.12) corresponds to the formula (4.20) in [16, Corollary 4.1], which contains a misprint. The formulas (5.10) with formally different coefficients $\gamma_i = (l_i P_{n_i}(0) P_{n_{i-1}}(0))^{-1}$ were found in [16, Corollary 4.1]. However, these formulas coincide, since by (5.12)

$$l_i P_{n_i}(0) P_{n_{i-1}}(0) = -b_0 \dots b_{i-1}.$$

5.3. Odd case. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-2}$ and let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be the set of normal indices of the sequence $\mathbf{s}$. Then polynomials m_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) and numbers l_j ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) are well defined by the formulas (4.5), and thus the polynomials P_j^+ and Q_j^+ ($1 \leq j \leq 2N-1$) can be computed by the formulas (5.14) and (5.15). Notice, that the polynomials P_{n_N} and Q_{n_N} cannot be calculated by the formulas (5.1) unless the sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-2}$ is completed by one more number s_{2n_N-1} . As follows from Proposition 5.4 the choice of s_{2n_N-1} does not impact the coefficients of P_{2N-1}^+ and Q_{2N-1}^+ in (5.9), but does impact P_{2N}^+ and Q_{2N}^+ .

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-2} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-2}^k$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ($\kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$), let polynomials $m_j(z)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$) and numbers l_j ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) be defined by (4.5), and let the matrices $M_j(z)$, L_j and $W_{2j-1}(z)$ be defined by*

$$(5.16) \quad M_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_j(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad j = \overline{1, N}.$$

$$(5.17) \quad W_{2j-1}(z) = M_1(z)L_1 \dots L_{j-1}M_j(z),$$

Then the matrix $W_{2j-1}(z)$ admits the following representation

$$(5.18) \quad W_{2j-1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j-2}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j-2}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N).$$

where the polynomials $P_j^+(z)$ and $Q_j^+(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2N-1$) are defined either by (5.14) and (5.15), or by (5.9).

PROOF. Let us prove (5.18) by induction. If $j = 1$, then $W_1(z) = M_1(z)$ and hence

$$W_1(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_j(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^+(z) & Q_0^+(z) \\ P_1^+(z) & P_0^+(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that (5.18) holds for some $j < N$ and let us prove (5.18) for $j := j+1$. By (5.14) and (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2j+1}(z) &= M_1(z)L_1 \dots L_j M_{j+1}(z) = W_{2j-1}(z)L_j M_{j+1}(z) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j-2}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j-2}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_{j+1}(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_{j+1}(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j+1}^+(z) & Q_{2j}^+(z) \\ P_{2j+1}^+(z) & P_{2j}^+(z) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.6 one obtains the following

Theorem 5.7. Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-2} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-2}^{k, reg}$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ($\kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$) and let the polynomials $P_j^+(z)$ and $Q_j^+(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2N-1$) be defined by (5.14) and (5.15). Then:

- (1) A nondegenerate odd moment problem $MP_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N-2)$ is solvable, if and only if

$$(5.19) \quad \kappa_N := \nu_-(S_{n_N}) \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_N := \nu_-(S_{n_N-1}^+) \leq k.$$

- (2) $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N-2)$ if and only if f admits the representation

$$(5.20) \quad f(z) = \frac{Q_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z) + Q_{2N-2}^+(z)}{P_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z) + P_{2N-2}^+(z)},$$

where

$$(5.21) \quad \tau \in N_{\kappa-\kappa_N}^{k-k_N} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau(z)} = o(z), \quad z \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} \infty.$$

5.4. Even case. Consider now the case when $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \ell}^k$ and ℓ is odd, i.e. $\ell = 2n_N - 1$ and n_N is the largest normal index of $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$. Then polynomials m_j and numbers l_j ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) are well defined by the formulas (4.5) for all $j = \overline{1, N}$, and thus the polynomials P_j^+ and Q_j^+ ($1 \leq j \leq 2N$) can be computed by (5.14) and (5.15). In the even case the polynomials P_{n_j} and Q_{n_j} are also well defined by the formulas (5.1) for all $j = \overline{1, 2N}$ and, thus, P_j^+ and Q_j^+ for $j = \overline{1, N}$ can be computed by the formulas (5.9) as well.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-1}^k$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ($\kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$), let $m_j(z)$, l_j , $M_j(z)$ and L_j ($1 \leq j \leq N-1$) be defined by (4.5), (5.16) and let the matrix $W_{2j}(z)$ be defined by*

$$(5.22) \quad W_0(z) = I, \quad W_{2j}(z) = M_1(z)L_1 \dots M_j(z)L_j \quad (j = \overline{0, N}).$$

Then the matrix $W_{2j}(z)$ admits the following representation

$$(5.23) \quad W_{2j}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 0, \dots, N),$$

where the polynomials $P_j^+(z)$ and $Q_j^+(z)$ ($-1 \leq j \leq 2N$) are defined by (5.9).

PROOF. Let us prove (5.22) by induction. If $j = 0$, then $W_0 = I$ and hence by (5.9)

$$W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{-1}^+(z) & Q_0^+(z) \\ P_{-1}^+(z) & P_0^+(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that (5.22) holds for some $j-1 < N$. Then by (5.14) and (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2j}(z) &= M_1(z)L_1 \dots M_j(z)L_j = W_{2j-2}(z)M_j(z)L_j = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-3}^+(z) & Q_{2j-2}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-3}^+(z) & P_{2j-2}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zm_j(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j-2}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j-2}^+(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{2j-1}^+(z) & Q_{2j}^+(z) \\ P_{2j-1}^+(z) & P_{2j}^+(z) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.22). $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 5.8 one obtains the following

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^{2n_N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, 2n_N-1}^{k, reg}$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) = \{n_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ($\kappa, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$) and let the polynomials $P_j^+(z)$ and $Q_j^+(z)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2N$) be defined by (5.14) and (5.15). Then:*

(1) *The even moment problem $MP_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$ is solvable, if and only if*

$$(5.24) \quad \kappa_N := n_-(S_{n_N}) \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad k_N^+ := n_-(S_{n_N}^+) \leq k.$$

(2) *$f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa^k(\mathbf{s}, 2n_N - 1)$ if and only if f admits the representation*

$$(5.25) \quad f(z) = \frac{Q_{2N}^+(z) + Q_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z)}{P_{2N}^+(z) + P_{2N-1}^+(z)\tau(z)},$$

where

$$(5.26) \quad \tau \in N_{\kappa - \kappa_N}^{k - k_N^+} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(z) = o(1), \quad z \widehat{\rightarrow} \infty.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, H. Langer. Factorization of J -unitary matrix polynomials on the line and a Schur algorithm for generalized Nevanlinna functions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 387:313–342, 2004.
- [2] N.I. Akhiezer. *The classical moment problem*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [3] R. Beals, D.H. Sattinger, J. Szmigielski. Multipeakons and the classical moment problem. *Adv. Math.*, 154(2):229–257, 2000.
- [4] R.E. Curto, L.A. Fialkow. Recursiveness, positivity, and truncated moment problems. *Houston J. Math.*, 17:603–635, 1991.

- [5] M. Derevyagin. On the Schur algorithm for indefinite moment problem. *Methods Functional Anal. Topol.*, 9(2):133–145, 2003.
- [6] M. Derevyagin, V. Derkach. Spectral problems for generalized Jacobi matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 382:1–24, 2004.
- [7] M. Derevyagin, V. Derkach. Darboux transformations of Jacobi matrices and Páde approximation. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 435(12):3056–3084, 2012.
- [8] V. Derkach. Generalized resolvents of a class of Hermitian operators in a Krein space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 317(4):807–812, 1991.
- [9] V. Derkach. On Weyl function and generalized resolvents of a Hermitian operator in a Krein space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 23:387–415, 1995.
- [10] V. Derkach. On indefinite moment problem and resolvent matrices of Hermitian operators in Krein spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, 184:135–166, 1997.
- [11] V. Derkach, M. Malamud. On Weyl function and Hermitian operators with gaps. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 293(5):1041–1046, 1987.
- [12] V. Derkach, M. Malamud. The extension theory of Hermitian operators and the moment problem. *J. of Math. Sci.*, 73(2):141–242, 1995.
- [13] V. Derkach, M. Malamud. On some classes of Holomorphic Operator Functions with Nonnegative Imaginary Part. In *16th OT Conference Proceedings, Operator theory, operator algebras and related topics*, pages 113–147, Timisoara, 1997. Theta Found. Bucharest.
- [14] V.A. Derkach, S. Hassi, H.S.V. de Snoo. Truncated moment problems in the class of generalized Nevanlinna functions. *Math. Nachr.*, 285(14-15):1741–1769, 2012.
- [15] T.J. Stieltjes. Recherches sur les fractions continues. *Ann. Fac. Sci. de Toulouse*, 8:1–122, 1894.
- [16] V. Derkach, I. Kovalyov. On a class of generalized Stieltjes continued fractions. *Methods of Funct. Anal. and Topology*, 21(4), 2015.
- [17] J. Eckhardt, A. Kostenko. An isospectral problem for global conservative multi-peakon solutions of the camassa-holm equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*
- [18] F.R. Gantmacher. *The theory of matrices*. Chelsey Publishing Company, New York, 1964.
- [19] I. Kovalyov. Darboux transformation of generalized Jacobi matrices. *Methods of Funct. Anal. and Topology*, 20(4):301–320, 2014.
- [20] M.G. Krein. Description of solutions of the truncated moment problem. *Mat. Issledovaniya*, 2, 114–132.
- [21] M.G. Krein. On a generalization of investigations of Stieltjes (russian). *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 87:881–884, 1952.
- [22] M.G. Krein, H. Langer. Über die Q -function eines π -Hermiteschen Operators im Raume π_κ . *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 34:191–230, 1973.
- [23] M.G. Krein, H. Langer. Über einige Fortsetzungsprobleme, die eng mit der Theorie Hermischer Operatoren in Raume π_κ zusammenhängen, I. Einige Funktionenklassen und ihre Darstellungen. *Math. Nachr.*, 77:187–236, 1977.
- [24] M.G. Krein, H. Langer. On some extension problem which are closely connected with the theory of Hermitian operators in a space π_κ iii. Indefinite analogues of the Hamburger and Stieltjes moment problems, part i. *Beiträge zur Anal*, 14(25-40), 1979.
- [25] M.G. Krein, A.A. Nudelman. *The Markov moment problem and extremal problems*, volume 50. Transl. Math. Monographs Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [26] A. Magnus. Expansion of power series into P -fractions. *Math. Zeitschr.*, 80:209–216, 1962.
- [27] F. Peherstorfer. Finite perturbations of orthogonal polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 44:275–302, 1992.
- [28] H.S. Wall. *Analytic theory of continued fractions*. Chelsey, New York, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DRAGOMANOV NATIONAL PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY, KIEV,
PIROGOVA 9, 01601, UKRAINE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONETSK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 600-RICHCHYA STR 21, VIN-
NYTSYA, 21021, UKRAINE
E-mail address: derkach.v@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DRAGOMANOV NATIONAL PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY, KIEV,
PIROGOVA 9, 01601, UKRAINE
E-mail address: i.m.kovalyov@gmail.com